arXiv:0706.2379v2 [hep-th] 9 Nov 2007

UWO-TH-07/12

The Derivative Expansion of the Effective Action and the Renormalization Group Equation

F.A. Chishtie,[1,*] Junji Jia,[1,†] and D.G.C. McKeon[1,‡]

[1] *Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario N6A 5B7, Canada*

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

The perturbative evaluation of the effective action can be expanded in powers of derivatives of the external field. We apply the renormalization group equation to the term in the effective action that is second order in the derivatives of the external field and all orders in a constant external field, considering both massless scalar ϕ_4^4 model and massless scalar electrodynamics. A so-called "on shell" renormalization scheme permits one to express this "kinetic term" for the scalar field entirely in terms of the renormalization group functions appropriate for this scheme. These renormalization group functions can be related to those associated with minimal subtraction.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Hi

I. INTRODUCTION

In the massless ϕ_4^4 model whose classical action is

$$S_{\text{cl}} = \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4\right], \tag{1}$$

the effective Lagrangian in the presence of a background field ϕ_{cl} can be expressed in powers of the derivatives of ϕ_{cl} so that [1]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -V(\phi_{\text{cl}}(0)) + \frac{1}{2}Z(\phi_{\text{cl}}(0))\left(\partial_\mu \phi_{\text{cl}}(0)\partial^\mu \phi_{\text{cl}}(0)\right) + \cdots . \tag{2}$$

(Henceforth, ϕ_{cl} will be simply denoted by ϕ.) The effective potential V can be computed using either diagrammatic [1] or functional methods [2, 3, 4]; in both cases divergences arise which require a renormalization. Consequently, V has explicitly dependence on a renormalization scale parameter μ. Since μ is unphysical, there must be implicit dependence of V on μ through λ and ϕ so that $\mu\frac{dV}{d\mu} = 0$. This leads to the "renormalization group" (RG) equation. Solving this equation perturbatively allows one to find in closed form the sum of all the so-called "leading-log" (LL), "next-to-leading-log" (NLL) etc. contributions to V. Applying this technique in the massless standard model leads to a prediction of a Higgs mass of approximately 220 Gev [5, 6]. Furthermore, the on shell renormalization condition

$$\frac{d^4V(\phi = \mu)}{d\phi^4} = \lambda \tag{3}$$

has been shown to fix V entirely in terms of the RG functions associated with this scheme [7]. This is also true in massless scalar electrodynamics [8]. The renormalization group has also been applied to analyzing V in Refs. [16, 17, 18, 19, 20].

The RG equation can also be used to extract information about the kinetic term $Z(\phi)$ occurring in equation (2). In this paper, we follow the approach of Refs. [7, 8] to show how $Z(\phi)$ is in fact completely fixed by the RG functions in much the same manner that V is determined. No Feynman diagrams need to be evaluated in the course of determining Z. Again, we consider the ϕ_4^4 model of eq. (1) and massless

---

[*] Electronic address: fachisht@uwo.ca
[†] Electronic address: jjia5@uwo.ca
[‡] Electronic address: dgmckeo2@uwo.ca

scalar electrodynamics. The need to perform explicit perturbative calculation of Z through evaluation of Feynman diagrams (as in Refs. [10, 11, 12]) is completely circumvented.

The function $Z(\phi)$ is of particular interest, as if v is the value of ϕ that minimizes V, so that

$$V'(v) = 0, \tag{4}$$

then the radiatively induced mass of the scalar is given by [1]

$$M^2 = V''(v)/Z(v). \tag{5}$$

In the discussion of the radiatively induced Higgs mass in the standard model in Ref. [5, 6], $Z(\phi)$ was taken to be close to its classical value of one.

II. $Z(\phi)$ IN THE ϕ_4^4 MODEL

Applying the condition

$$\mu\frac{d}{d\mu}\left(Z(\phi)\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi\right) = 0 \tag{6}$$

to the kinetic term in the effective Lagrangian of eq. (2) results in

$$\left[\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} + \beta(\lambda)\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda} + \gamma(\lambda)\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} + 2\gamma(\lambda)\right] Z(\lambda,\phi,\mu) = 0, \tag{7}$$

where in eq. (7)

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\lambda) &= \mu\frac{d\lambda}{d\mu} && (8)\\ \gamma(\lambda) &= \frac{\mu}{\phi}\frac{d\phi}{d\mu} && (9) \end{aligned}$$

and the dependence of Z on λ and μ as well as ϕ has been explicitly noted.

Divergences which arise in the computation of Z require imposition of a renormalization condition. The condition we chose is

$$Z(\lambda,\phi=\mu,\mu) = 1. \tag{10}$$

This is an "on shell" condition, much the same as the condition on V given in eq. (3) [1].

The general form of $\beta(\lambda)$, $\gamma(\lambda)$ and $Z(\lambda,\phi,\mu)$ when the renormalization condition of eq. (10) is applied is

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n\lambda^n && (11)\\ \gamma(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n\lambda^n && (12) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Z(\lambda,\phi,\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=0}^{n} T_{nm}\lambda^n L^m, \tag{13}$$

where $L = \ln\left(\phi^2/\mu^2\right)$. There are several ways of rearranging the sum in eq. (13), one being the "vertical" reorganization so that

$$Z = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m(\lambda) L^m \tag{14}$$

where

$$A_m(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{n+m,m} \lambda^{n+m} \tag{15}$$

another being the "diagonal" reorganization

$$Z = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^m S_m(\lambda L) \tag{16}$$

where

$$S_m(\lambda L) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{n+m,m} (\lambda L)^n. \tag{17}$$

The function $S_m(\lambda L)$ is the N^mLL contribution to Z.

We now will show that the RG equations when applied to Z written in the form of eq. (14) allows one to express $A_m(\lambda)$ in terms of $A_0(\lambda)$. Substitution of eq. (14) into eq. (7) results in

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[\left(-2 + 2\gamma(\lambda)\right) m L^{m-1} + \left(\beta(\lambda) \frac{d}{d\lambda} + 2\gamma(\lambda) \right) L^m \right] A_m(\lambda) = 0, \tag{18}$$

which shows that at each order of L

$$A_m(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\hat{\beta}(\lambda) \frac{d}{d\lambda} + 2\hat{\gamma}(\lambda) \right) A_{m-1}(\lambda) \tag{19}$$

where $\hat{\beta} = \beta/(1-\gamma)$ and $\hat{\gamma} = \gamma/(1-\gamma)$.

If now we define

$$A_m(\lambda) = \exp\left(-2 \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} \frac{\hat{\gamma}(x)}{\hat{\beta}(x)} dx \right) B_m(\lambda), \tag{20}$$

and

$$\eta(\lambda) = 2 \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} \frac{dx}{\hat{\beta}(x)}, \tag{21}$$

then eq. (19) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} B_m(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{m} \frac{d}{d\eta} B_{m-1}(\lambda(\eta)) \\ &= \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{d\eta^m} B_0(\lambda(\eta)). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

The sum in eq. (14) now becomes

$$Z = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-2\int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} \frac{\hat{\gamma}(x)}{\hat{\beta}(x)}dx\right) \frac{L^m}{m!}\frac{d^m}{d\eta^m}B_0(\lambda(\eta)) \tag{23}$$

or

$$= \exp\left(-2\int_{\lambda(\eta+L)}^{\lambda} \frac{\hat{\gamma}(x)}{\hat{\beta}(x)}dx\right) A_0(\lambda(\eta(\lambda)+L)), \tag{24}$$

showing that Z itself can be expressed in terms of A_0 and the RG equations β and γ.

If now we impose the renormalization conditions of eq. (10), then as $L = 0$ when $\phi^2 = \mu^2$ and $\lambda(\eta) = \lambda$, we see that eq. (24) becomes

$$A_0(\lambda) = 1. \tag{25}$$

With $A_0(\lambda)$ having been so fixed, Z is seen now to be determined solely by the RG functions β and γ evaluated in the renormalization scheme of eqs. (3) and (10).

It is also convenient to examine the consequence of substituting eq. (16) into (7), along with expansions of eqs. (11) and (12). This results in

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[-2 + 2\left(g_1\lambda + g_2\lambda^2 + \cdots\right)\right]\left[\lambda S_0' + \lambda^2 S_1' + \lambda^3 S_2' + \cdots\right] \\ +\ &\left[b_2\lambda^2 + b_3\lambda^3 + \cdots\right]\left[\left(S_1 + 2\lambda S_2 + 3\lambda^2 S_3 + \cdots\right) + \frac{\xi}{\lambda}\left(S_0' + \lambda S_1' + \lambda^2 S_2' + \cdots\right)\right] \\ +\ &2\left[g_1\lambda + g_2\lambda^2 + \cdots\right]\left[S_0 + \lambda S_1 + \lambda^2 S_2 + \cdots\right] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Here, S_m is evaluated at $\xi \equiv \lambda L$. At order λ^{m+1}, eq. (26) generates a differential equation expressing $S_m(\xi)$ in terms of $S_{m-1}(\xi), \cdots, S_0(\xi)$ as the following

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m}\left[\left(2g_{m-i} + b_{m+2-i}\xi\right) S_i'(\xi) + \left(2g_{m+1-i} + i b_{m+2-i}\xi\right) S_i(\xi)\right] = 0, \tag{27}$$

where we have defined a constant $g_0 \equiv -1$.

We now impose the renormalization condition of eq. (10); this combined with eq. (16) shows that

$$1 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^m S_m(0) \tag{28}$$

so that $S_m(0) = \delta_{m0}$. This serves as the boundary condition to the differential equations (27) for $S_m(\xi)$.

As $g_1 = 0$ [9], eqs. (27) have the following solution

$$S_m(\xi) = \frac{1}{b_2^m}\left[a_{m0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{w^i}\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_{mij}(\ln w)^j\right)\right] \tag{29}$$

where $w = 1 - b_2\xi/2$ and a_{m0} and a_{mij} are constants in terms of the coefficients b_n $(n \leq m+1)$ and

g_n $(n \leq m+1)$ of RG functions β and γ. In particular, the solutions to S_0 to S_4 are

$$S_0(\xi) = 1 \tag{30}$$

$$S_1(\xi) = \frac{1}{b_2}\left(-2g_2 + \frac{2g_2}{w}\right) \tag{31}$$

$$S_2(\xi) = \frac{1}{b_2^2}\left[2g_2^2 + b_3g_2 - g_3b_2 - \frac{4}{w} + \frac{1}{w^2}\left(2g_2^2 - b_3g_2 + g_3b_2 - 2b_3g_2\ln w\right)\right] \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_3(\xi) = \frac{1}{b_2^3}\Bigg[&-2\left(b_3g_2^2 - \frac{1}{3}g_4b_2^2 - \frac{1}{3}b_4b_2g_2 + \frac{2}{3}g_2^3 + \frac{1}{3}b_3^2g_2\right) - \frac{2}{w}\left(g_2g_3b_2 - 2g_2^3 - b_3g_2^2\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{w^2}\left(4b_3g_2^3\ln w - 2\left(-b_3^2g_2 + b_4b_2g_2 - b_3g_2^2 + g_2^2b_2^2 + g_2g_3b_2 + 2g_2^3\right)\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{w^3}\Bigg(2b_3^2g_2(\ln w)^2 - 2(2b_3g_2^2 + b_2b_3g_3)\ln w \\ &-2\left(-g_2^2b_2^2 - \frac{1}{3}g_4b_2^2 - \frac{2}{3}b_4g_2b_2 + \frac{1}{3}b_3g_3b_2 - g_2g_3b_2 + b_3g_2^2 + \frac{2}{3}b_3^2g_2 - \frac{2}{3}g_2^3\right)\Bigg)\Bigg] \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_4(\xi) = \frac{1}{b_2^4}\Bigg[&\frac{2}{3}\Bigg(\frac{3}{4}\left(b_3g_4b_2^2 + b_5g_2b_2^2 + b_4b_2^2g_3 - b_3^2g_3b_2 - g_5b_2^3\right) - 2b_4b_2g_2^2 - \frac{3}{2}b_3b_4g_2b_2 + 3b_3g_2^3 \\ &-\frac{7}{2}b_3g_2b_2 + \frac{11}{4}b_3^2g_2^2 - 3g_2^2g_3b_2 + 2g_2g_4b_2^2 + g_2^4\Bigg) \\ &+ \frac{4}{3w}\left(b_4b_2g_2^2 - 2g_2^4 + b_3g_2g_3b_2 - b_3^2g_2^2 + 3g_2^2g_3b_2 - 3b_3g_2^3 - g_2g_4b_2^2\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{w^2}\Big(2(-2b_3g_2^3 - b_3^2g_2^2 + b_3g_2g_3b_2)\ln w \\ &-b_3^3g_2 - g_2b_2^3g_3 + 2b_3g_2b_2(b_4 + g_3) - g_3^2b_2^2 + 4g_2^3b_2^2 + b_3g_2^2b_2^2 - 5b_3^2g_2^2 - b_5g_2b_2^2 + 4g_2^4 + 4b_4b_2g_2^2\Big) \\ &+ \frac{1}{w^3}\Big(4\left(b_3g_2^2b_2^2 + b_3g_2g_3b_2 - b_3^3g_2 + 2b_3g_2^3 + b_3b_4g_2b_2y\right)\ln w - 4b_3^2g_2^2(\ln w)^2 \\ &+ \frac{2}{3}\big(2b_3g_2g_3b_2 - 3b_4b_2^2g_3 - 3g_2b_2^3g_3 + 6b_3g_2^3 - 12g_2^3b_2^2 - 2g_2g_4b_2^2 + 3b_3^2g_3b_2 \\ &-4g_2^4 - 10b_4b_2g_2^2 - 6g_2^2g_3b_2 + 10b_3^2g_2^2\big)\Big) \\ &+ \frac{1}{w^4}\Bigg(-2b_3^3g_2(\ln w)^3 + \left(6b_3^2g_2^2 + 3b_3^2g_3b_2 + 2b_3^3g_2\right)(\ln w)^2 \\ &+ \frac{2}{3}\Bigg(6g_2^3b_2^2 + \frac{3}{4}g_5b_2^3 + \frac{3}{4}g_3^2b_2^2 - \frac{9}{4}b_3^2g_3b_2 + \frac{9}{4}b_4b_2^2g_3 + 4b_4b_2{g_2}^2 + 3g_2^2g_3b_2 + 2g_2g_4b_2^2 + \frac{9}{2}g_2b_2^3g_3 \\ &+\frac{3}{4}b_5g_2b_2^2 - \frac{3}{2}b_3b_4g_2b_2 - \frac{7}{2}b_3g_2g_3b_2 - \frac{3}{2}b_3g_2^2b_2^2 - \frac{3}{4}b_3g_4b_2^2 + \frac{3}{4}b_3^3g_2 - 3b_3g_2^3 - \frac{13}{4}{b_3}^2g_2^2 + g_2^4\Bigg) \\ &+ \left(-4b_3g_2^3 + 4b_3^3g_2 - 4b_3b_4g_2b_2 - 6b_3g_2^2b_2^2 - 2b_3g_4b_2^2 + 2b_3^2g_2^2 - 6b_3g_2g_3b_2\right)\ln w\Bigg)\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

In eqs. (30-34) we have not only the complete five-loop contribution to Z without having to compute any Feynman diagrams, but also the LL, $\cdots$, N^{4}LL contribution to Z coming from all orders of perturbation theory. The LL result of eq. (30) is consistent with the perturbative calculations of Z [10, 11, 12]. We note that it is not a solution to the RG equation (7) when β and γ are truncated to lowest order; the solution to this equation involves contributions from parts of S_m $(m \geq 1)$. The solution (29) is completely determined by the RG functions.

The RG functions β and γ used to this point are these associated with the renormalization conditions of eq. (3) and (10). As was pointed out in Ref. [13] and further developed in Refs. [7, 8], the mass scale μ^2 used in this on shell scheme is related to the mass scale $\tilde{\mu}^2$ used in the minimal subtraction (MS) scheme through

$$\tilde{\mu}^2 = \lambda\mu^2 \tag{35}$$

so that if $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ are the RG functions in the MS scheme, then

$$\beta(\lambda) = \frac{\tilde{\beta}(\lambda)}{1 - \tilde{\beta}(\lambda)/(2\lambda)} \tag{36}$$

and

$$\gamma(\lambda) = \frac{\tilde{\gamma}(\lambda)}{1 - \tilde{\beta}(\lambda)/(2\lambda)}. \tag{37}$$

The functions $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ are given up to five loop order in Ref. [14]; this in turn gives β and γ to five loop order [7]. Explicit expressions for the MS RG functions $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ up to five loop order appear in Ref. [7], so that $S_0(\xi), \cdots, S_4(\xi)$ can be given in terms of MS RG functions. This permits determining Z up to order N^{4}LL exactly, when using the renormalization condition of eq. (10). Finding Z in some other scheme such as MS would require explicit computation of the boundary condition $S_n(0)$ through evaluation of Feynman diagrams in that renormalization scheme. Recall also that the quantity L in the MS scheme has explicit dependence on λ.

We now consider massless scalar electrodynamics.

III. $Z(\phi)$ IN MASSLESS SCALAR ELECTRODYNAMICS

In this section we examine massless scalar electrodynamics, whose classical action is

$$S_{\rm cl} = \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu)\phi^* \right] \left[(\partial^\mu - ieA^\mu)\phi \right] - \frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!}(\phi^*\phi)^2 \right\}. \tag{38}$$

This is dependent on two coupling constants, λ and $\alpha \equiv e^2$.

The effective action in the presence of a background field ϕ and a background gauge field A_μ can be expanded in powers of derivatives of these background fields leading to

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = -V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4}H(\phi)(F_{\mu\nu})^2 + \frac{1}{2}Z(\phi)|\partial_\mu\phi - ieA_\mu\phi|^2 + \cdots, \tag{39}$$

where all fields are evaluated at some fixed point. The form of the dependence of $\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}$ on ϕ and A_μ is restricted by gauge invariance.

We again focus on the function $Z(\phi)$ associated with kinetic term for the scalar field. Once more, we apply an "on shell" renormalization condition [1]

$$Z(\phi^*\phi = \mu^2) = 1. \tag{40}$$

The function Z can be evaluated perturbatively, leading to a general expansion

$$Z(\lambda, \alpha, \phi, \mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r=0}^{n+k} T_{n+k-r,r,k}\lambda^{n+k-r}\alpha^r L^k, \tag{41}$$

where $L = \ln(\phi^*\phi/\mu^2)$ with μ^2 again being the renormalization scalar parameter. The RG equation is now

$$\left[\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} + \beta^\lambda(\lambda,\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda} + \beta^\alpha(\lambda,\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha} + \gamma(\lambda,\alpha)\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} + 2\gamma(\lambda,\alpha)\right] Z = 0 \tag{42}$$

where

$$\beta^\lambda(\lambda,\alpha) \equiv \mu\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial\mu} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\beta^\lambda_n, \qquad \beta^\lambda_n = \sum_{r=0}^{n} b^\lambda_{n-r,r}\lambda^{n-r}\alpha^r\,, \tag{43}$$

$$\beta^\alpha(\lambda,\alpha) \equiv \mu\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial\mu} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\beta^\alpha_n, \qquad \beta^\alpha_n = \sum_{r=0}^{n} b^\alpha_{n-r,r}\lambda^{n-r}\alpha^r\,, \tag{44}$$

$$\text{and } \gamma(\lambda,\alpha) \equiv \frac{\mu}{\phi}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\mu} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\gamma_n, \qquad \gamma_n = \sum_{r=0}^{n} g_{n-r,r}\lambda^{n-r}\alpha^r. \tag{45}$$

(The product eA_μ is not renormalized [15] with suitable gauge fixing.)

The kinetic term Z of eq. (41) depends now on two couplings λ and α; this is what makes the discussion of Z in massless scalar electrodynamics more complicated than in the pure massless ϕ^4_4 model, where Z in eq. (13) depends on only a single coupling λ. It turns out to be advantageous to define [8]

$$P^k_n(\lambda,\alpha) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} T_{n-r,r,k}\lambda^{n-r}\alpha^r \tag{46}$$

so that by eq. (41)

$$Z(\phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{n} P^k_n(\lambda,\alpha)L^k. \tag{47}$$

Just as $S_m(\lambda L)$ of eq. (17) is identified with the N^{m}LL contribution to Z in the massless ϕ^4_4 model, so also we find that the N^{n}LL contribution to Z in massless scalar electrodynamics is given by

$$Z_{N^nLL} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P^k_{k+n}L^k. \tag{48}$$

With the renormalization condition of eq. (40), we have

$$P^0_n = \delta_{n0}. \tag{49}$$

Substitution of eq. (47) into (42) results in

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[2k(-1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\gamma_m)P^k_nL^{k-1} + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\left(\beta^\lambda_m\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda} + \beta^\alpha_m\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\right)P^k_nL^k + 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\gamma_mP^k_nL^k\right] = 0. \tag{50}$$

Each of the quantities P^k_n, β^λ_n, β^α_n and γ_n are of the form $\sum_{r=0}^{n} C_{n-r,r}\lambda^{n-r}\alpha^r$, i.e., they are polynomials of degree n in λ and α. By having each term of order k in L and of order n in λ and α in eq. (50) equaling zero, we obtain a series of coupled partial differential equations with the boundary condition of eq. (49) that can be solved for each of the P^k_n in turn. For example, if in equation (50) we were to consider the term of zeroth order in L and first order in the coupling, we have

$$-2P^1_1 + 2\gamma_1P^0_0 = 0. \tag{51}$$

This, combined with eq. (49), determines P^1_1. In general, if in eq. (50) we examine terms of order n in L and order $(n+1)$ in the coupling, then

$$P^{n+1}_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta^\lambda_2\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda} + \beta^\alpha_2\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\right) + \gamma_1\right]P^n_n. \tag{52}$$

This fixes all the LL contributions to Z_{LL} of eq. (48) in terms of β^λ_2, β^α_2 and γ_1. One could obtain each of the coefficients $T_{n-r,r,n}$ contributing to P^n_n in eq. (46) in terms of $b^\lambda_{2-r,r}$, $b^\alpha_{2-r,r}$ and $g_{1-r,r}$ directly from eq. (52).

The coefficients P^n_{n+1} that contribute to Z_{NLL} can also be found from the RG equation of eq. (50). To do this we consider these terms in eq. (50) that are of order n in L and order $(n+2)$ in the couplings,

$$\left(P^{n+1}_{n+2} - \gamma_1 P^{n+1}_{n+1}\right) = \frac{1}{n+1}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta^\lambda_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda} + \beta^\alpha_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\right) + \gamma_1\right] P^n_{n+1} + \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta^\lambda_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda} + \beta^\alpha_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\right) + \gamma_2\right] P^n_n\right\}. \tag{53}$$

Having determined each of the coefficients $T_{n-r,r,n}$ that contribute to P^n_n from eq. (52), we can now use eq. (53) to fix the coefficients $T_{n+1-r,r,n}$ that go into P^n_{n+1} provided β^λ_3, β^α_3 and γ_2 are known. The boundary condition $P^0_1 = 0$ which follows from eq. (49) is also employed.

As in Ref. [8], a variant of the method of characteristics can be used to find a closed form expression for the sums occurring in Z_{LL} and Z_{NLL}. We start by defining

$$W^n_{n+k}(\bar{\lambda}(t), \bar{\alpha}(t), t) = \exp\left[2\int_0^t \gamma_1(\bar{\lambda}(\tau), \bar{\alpha}(\tau))d\tau\right] P^n_{n+k}(\bar{\lambda}(t), \bar{\alpha}(t)) \tag{54}$$

where the characteristic functions $\bar{\lambda}(t)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(t)$ satisfy

$$\frac{d\bar{\lambda}(t)}{dt} = \beta^\lambda_2(\bar{\lambda}(t), \bar{\alpha}(t))\,, \qquad \bar{\lambda}(0) = \lambda, \tag{55}$$

$$\frac{d\bar{\alpha}(t)}{dt} = \beta^\alpha_2(\bar{\lambda}(t), \bar{\alpha}(t))\,, \qquad \bar{\alpha}(0) = \alpha. \tag{56}$$

The forms of β^λ_2 and β^α_2 appear in Ref. [1], as well as the solution for $\bar{\lambda}(t)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(t)$. Differentiating (54) with respect to t gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}W^n_{n+k}(\bar{\lambda}(t), \bar{\alpha}(t), t) = \left(\beta^\lambda_2(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\alpha})\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}} + \beta^\alpha_2(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\alpha})\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\alpha}} + 2\gamma_1(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\alpha})\right) W^n_{n+k}(\bar{\lambda}(t), \bar{\alpha}(t), t). \tag{57}$$

Together, equations (52), (54) and (57) show that

$$W^{n+1}_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\frac{d}{dt}W^n_n = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}(n+1)!}\frac{d^{n+1}}{dt^{n+1}}W^0_0. \tag{58}$$

If now

$$\bar{Z}_{LL}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W^n_n(\bar{\lambda}(t), \bar{\alpha}(t), t)\bar{L}^n \tag{59}$$

where

$$\bar{L} = \ln\left(\frac{\phi^*\phi}{\bar{\mu}^2(t)}\right) \tag{60}$$

with

$$\frac{d\bar{\mu}(t)}{dt} = \bar{\mu}(t)\,, \qquad \bar{\mu}(0) = \mu, \qquad \text{so that } \bar{\mu}(t) = \mu e^t, \tag{61}$$

then by (58)

$$\bar{Z}_{LL}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{\bar{L}}{2}\right)^n\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^n W^0_0(\bar{\lambda}(t), \bar{\alpha}(t), t) = W^0_0\left(\bar{\lambda}(t+\frac{\bar{L}}{2}), \bar{\alpha}(t+\frac{\bar{L}}{2}), t+\frac{\bar{L}}{2}\right). \tag{62}$$

Furthermore, eqs. (54)-(56), (59)-(61) show that

$$\bar{Z}_{LL}(0) = Z_{LL} \tag{63}$$

and so by eqs. (49) and (62),

$$Z_{LL} = \exp\left[2\int_0^{L/2}\gamma_1(\bar{\lambda}(\tau),\bar{\alpha}(\tau))d\tau\right]. \tag{64}$$

Since $L = 0$ when $\phi^*\phi = \mu^2$, the renormalization condition of eq. (40) is satisfied.

Next we take

$$\bar{Z}_{N^mLL}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_{n+m}^n(\bar{\lambda}(t),\bar{\alpha}(t),t)\bar{L}^n \tag{65}$$

so that

$$\bar{Z}_{N^mLL}(0) = Z_{N^mLL}. \tag{66}$$

By eqs. (53) and (54), we find that

$$W_{n+1}^n = \frac{1}{2n}\left[\left(\beta_2^\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}} + \beta_2^\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\alpha}} + 2\gamma_1\right)W_n^{n-1} + \left(\beta_3^\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}} + \beta_3^\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\alpha}} + 2\gamma_2\right)W_{n-1}^{n-1}\right] + \gamma_1 W_n^n. \tag{67}$$

Using eqs. (52) and(57), this equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} W_{n+1}^n &= \frac{1}{2n}\left[\left(\beta_2^\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}} + \beta_2^\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\alpha}} + 2\gamma_1\right)W_n^{n-1}\right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \left(\left(\beta_3^\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}} + \beta_3^\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\alpha}} + 2\gamma_2\right) + \gamma_1\left(\beta_2^\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}} + \beta_2^\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\alpha}} + 2\gamma_1\right)\right)W_{n-1}^{n-1}\right] && (68) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2n}\left[\frac{d}{dt}W_n^{n-1} + \mathcal{D}(t)W_{n-1}^{n-1}\right]. && (69) \end{aligned}$$

Iterating eq. (69) and again using eq. (58), we find that

$$W_{n+1}^n = \frac{1}{2^n n!}\left[\frac{d^n}{dt^n}W_1^0 + \mathcal{D}(t)\frac{d^{n-1}}{dt^{n-1}}W_0^0 + \cdots + \frac{d^{n-2}}{dt^{n-2}}\left(\mathcal{D}(t)\frac{d}{dt}W_0^0\right) + \frac{d^{n-1}}{dt^{n-1}}\left(\mathcal{D}(t)W_0^0\right)\right]. \tag{70}$$

Using identity

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d^n}{dt^n}(fg) + \frac{d^{n-1}}{dt^{n-1}}\left(f\frac{dg}{dt}\right) + \cdots + \frac{d}{dt}\left(f\frac{d^{n-1}g}{dt^{n-1}}\right) + f\frac{d^n g}{dt^n} \\ = &\frac{d^{n+1}}{dt^{n+1}}(\phi g) - \phi\frac{d^{n+1}}{dt^{n+1}}g \qquad (\phi' = f), \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

eq. (70) reduces to

$$W_{n+1}^n = \frac{1}{2^n n!}\left[\frac{d^n}{dt^n}\left(W_1^0 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t)W_0^0\right) - \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t)\frac{d^n}{dt^n}W_0^0\right], \tag{72}$$

where $d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t)/dt \equiv \mathcal{D}(t)$, so that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t)W_0^0(\bar{\lambda}(t),\bar{\alpha}(t),t) = \left(\int_{t_0}^t\left[\beta_3^\lambda\left(\bar{\lambda}(\tau),\bar{\alpha}(\tau)\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}(t)} + \cdots + 2\gamma_1^2\left(\bar{\lambda}(\tau),\bar{\alpha}(\tau)\right)\right]d\tau\right)W_0^0\left(\bar{\lambda}(t),\bar{\alpha}(t),t\right) \tag{73}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t)W_0^0(\bar{\lambda}(t),\bar{\alpha}(t),t)\right)\\
=&\left[\beta_3^{\lambda}(\bar{\lambda}(t),\bar{\alpha}(t))\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}(t)}+\cdots+2\gamma_1^2(\bar{\lambda}(t),\bar{\alpha}(t))\right]W_0^0(\bar{\lambda}(t),\bar{\alpha}(t),t)\\
&+\int_0^t\left[\beta_3^{\lambda}(\bar{\lambda}(\tau),\bar{\alpha}(\tau))\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}(t)}+\cdots+2\gamma_1^2(\bar{\lambda}(\tau),\bar{\alpha}(\tau))\right]d\tau\frac{d}{dt}W_0^0(\bar{\lambda}(t),\bar{\alpha}(t),t). \qquad (74)
\end{aligned}$$

Eqs. (73) and (74) ensure consistency between eqs. (70) and (72). It is important to note that in eqs. (73) and (74) $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\alpha}$ are evaluated at t when they appear in the arguments of W_0^0 and also that derivatives with respect to $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\alpha}$ are also computed with $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\alpha}$ evaluated at t. In eq. (74), the ordinary derivative d/dt acts on $W_0^0(\bar{\lambda}(t),\bar{\alpha}(t),t)$ prior to functional derivatives $\partial/\partial\bar{\lambda}(t)$ and $\partial/\partial\bar{\alpha}(t)$. The last step to be performed on the right hand side of eq. (74) is the integration over τ. Eq. (65) now can be written as

$$\bar{Z}_{NLL}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\bar{L}^n}{2^n n!}\left[\frac{d^n}{dt^n}\left(W_1^0(\bar{\lambda}(t),\bar{\alpha}(t),t)+\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t)W_0^0(\bar{\lambda}(t),\bar{\alpha}(t),t)\right)-\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t)\frac{d^n}{dt^n}W_0^0(\bar{\lambda}(t),\bar{\alpha}(t),t)\right]. \quad (75)$$

The sum over n in eq. (62) can now be performed and upon setting $t=0$ and using eq. (66) we obtain

$$Z_{NLL}(0)=W_1^0\left(\bar{\lambda}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right),\bar{\alpha}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right),\frac{L}{2}\right)+\tilde{\mathcal{D}}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)W_0^0\left(\bar{\lambda}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right),\bar{\alpha}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right),\frac{L}{2}\right) \qquad (76)$$

provided $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(0)=0$ (i.e., we select $t_0=0$). We thus have a closed form expression for Z_{NLL}. The renormalization condition of eq. (49) further reduces it to

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{NLL} &= \tilde{\mathcal{D}}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)\exp\left[2\int_0^{L/2}\gamma_1\left(\bar{\lambda}(\tau),\bar{\alpha}(\tau)\right)d\tau\right]\\
&= 2\int_0^{L/2}d\tau\left[\gamma_2(\tau)+\gamma_1^2(\tau)\right]\exp\left[2\int_0^{L/2}\gamma_1(\bar{\lambda}(\tau),\bar{\alpha}(\tau))d\tau\right]. \qquad (77)
\end{aligned}$$

The closed form of Z_{N^2LL} term could be obtained in a similar manner. In eq. (50), consider the terms that are of order n of L and of order $(n+3)$ in the couplings,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{n+3}^{n+1}-\left(\gamma_1P_{n+2}^{n+1}+\gamma_2P_{n+1}^{n+1}\right) &= \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\left[\left(\beta_2^{\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}+\beta_2^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}+2\gamma_1\right)P_{n+2}^n\right.\\
&\left.+\left(\beta_3^{\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}+\beta_3^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}+2\gamma_2\right)P_{n+1}^n+\left(\beta_4^{\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}+\beta_4^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}+2\gamma_3\right)P_n^n\right] \quad (78)
\end{aligned}$$

Using eqs. (58) and (69), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{n+3}^{n+1} &= \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\left[\frac{d}{dt}W_{n+2}^n+\left(\gamma_1\left(\beta_2^{\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}}+\beta_2^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\alpha}}+2\gamma_1\right)+\beta_3^{\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}}+\beta_3^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\alpha}}+2\gamma_2\right)W_{n+1}^n\right.\\
&+\left((\gamma_2+\gamma_1^2)\left(\beta_2^{\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}}+\beta_2^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\alpha}}+2\gamma_1\right)+\gamma_1\left(\beta_3^{\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}}+\beta_3^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\alpha}}+2\gamma_2\right)\right.\\
&\left.\left.+\beta_4^{\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}}+\beta_4^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\alpha}}+2\gamma_3\right)W_n^n\right] \qquad (79)\\
&\equiv \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\left[\frac{d}{dt}W_{n+2}^n+\mathcal{D}_1(t)W_{n+1}^n+\mathcal{D}_0(t)W_n^n\right]. \qquad (80)
\end{aligned}$$

Iterating this equation n times and again using (58) and (72), it is found

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{n+3}^{n+1} \;=\; \frac{1}{2^{n+1}(n+1)!} \Bigg[& \frac{d^{n+1}}{dt^{n+1}} W_2^0 + \mathcal{D}_1(t) \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left(W_1^0 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t) W_0^0 \right) - \mathcal{D}_1(t) \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t) \frac{d^n}{dt^n} W_0^0 \\
& + \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{D}_1(t) \frac{d^{n-1}}{dt^{n-1}} \left(W_1^0 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t) W_0^0 \right) - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\mathcal{D}_1(t) \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t) \right) \frac{d^{n-1}}{dt^{n-1}} W_0^0 \\
& + \cdots \\
& + \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left[\mathcal{D}_1(t) \left(W_1^0 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t) W_0^0 \right) \right] - \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left(\mathcal{D}_1(t) \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t) W_0^0 \right) \\
& + \mathcal{D}_0(t) \frac{d^n}{dt^n} W_0^0 + \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{D}_0(t) \frac{d^{n-1}}{dt^{n-1}} W_0^0 + \cdots + \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \mathcal{D}_0(t) W_0^0 \Bigg] . \qquad (81)
\end{aligned}$$

Using eqs. (71) and (65) and the boundary condition (49), it is found that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{N^2LL} = \bar{Z}_{N^2LL}(0) \;&=\; \left(\tilde{\mathcal{D}_1} \left(\frac{L}{2} \right) \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{L}{2} \right) - \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{10} \left(\frac{L}{2} \right) \right) W_0^0 \left(\bar{\lambda} \left(\frac{L}{2} \right), \bar{\alpha} \left(\frac{L}{2} \right), \frac{L}{2} \right) \\
&=\; \left(\tilde{\mathcal{D}_1} \left(\frac{L}{2} \right) \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{L}{2} \right) - \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{10} \left(\frac{L}{2} \right) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}_0} \left(\frac{L}{2} \right) \right) \exp \left[2 \int_0^{L/2} \gamma_1 \left(\bar{\lambda}(\tau), \bar{\alpha}(\tau) \right) d\tau \right] \qquad (82)
\end{aligned}$$

where $d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_0(t)/dt = \mathcal{D}_0(t)$, $d\tilde{\mathcal{D}_1}(t)/dt = \mathcal{D}_1(t)$, $d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{10}(t)/dt = \mathcal{D}_1(t)\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t)$ with equations analogous to eqs. (73) and (74) being satisfied and we have set $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_0(0) = 0$, $\tilde{\mathcal{D}_1}(0) = 0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{10}(0) = 0$.

For $Z_{N^mLL}, (m > 2)$, we easily see from the derivation of Z_{LL}, Z_{NLL} and Z_{N^2LL} in eqs. (64), (77) and (82), that every Z_{N^mLL} is in the form of some operator $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_m$ applied to W_0^0, i.e.,

$$Z_{N^mLL} = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_m \left(\frac{L}{2} \right) \exp \left[2 \int_0^{L/2} \gamma_1 \left(\bar{\lambda}(\tau), \bar{\alpha}(\tau) \right) d\tau \right] . \qquad (83)$$

The β and γ functions to order β_{m+2}^{λ}, β_{m+2}^{α} and γ_{m+1} uniquely determine the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_m$, which in turn fixes $Z(\phi)$ to order N^{m}LL.

From eq. (64) it follows that $Z_{LL} = 1$ if $\phi^2 = \mu^2$ $(L = 0)$ while from eqs. (77,82,83) we see that $Z_{N^pLL} = 0$ $(p > 0)$ in this limit. This is consistent with the renormalization condition of eq. (10). If we take $\mu = v$, then it is apparent that $Z(v)$ in eq. (5) is just equal to one. The RG function used in deriving eqs. (64), (77), (82) and (83) are those associated with the "on shell" renormalization conditions of eq. (3) and (10) or (40).

IV. DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated that the kinetic term in the derivative expansion of the effective Lagrangian can be determined entirely by the "on shell" RG functions in the massless ϕ_4^4 model. The LL , NLL, N^{2}LL have similarly been computed in massless scalar electrodynamics. This is a necessary ingredient in determining the radiatively generated mass in these models, as is shown by eq. (5).

There are several avenues that should be further explored. For example, we should show that terms in the derivative expansion of the effective Lagrangian beyond the kinetic term for the scalar field are expressible in terms of the RG functions. We should also consider the effect of inserting a mass for the scalar fields in the classical Lagrangian considered here.

Possibly the most important question is to see if the techniques employed here and in Ref. [7, 8] can be used to compute more precisely the Higgs mass in the massless standard model, thereby improving on the estimates of Refs. [5, 6]. This is currently being undertaken [21].

Acknowledgements

D.G.C. McKeon would like to thank USP for its hospitality while some of this work was done. Roger

Macleod had a useful comment.

---

[1] Sidney Coleman and Erick Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D. 7 (1973), 1888.
[2] R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D, 9 (1974), 1686.
[3] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D, 7 (1973), 1883.
[4] A. Salam and J. Strethdee, Phys. Rev. D, 9 (1974), 1129.
[5] V. Elias, R.B. Mann, D.G.C. McKeon and T.G. Steele, Nucl. Phys. B, 678, (2004), 147.
[6] V. Elias, R.B. Mann, D.G.C. McKeon and T.G. Steele, Phys. Rev. D, 72, (2005), 037902.
[7] F. Chishtie, D.G.C McKeon and T. Steele, hep-ph/0706.1760v1 (2007).
[8] F. Chishtie, T. Hanif and D.G.C McKeon, UWO-preprint (2007)
[9] J. Collins, Phys. Rev. D 10, (1974), 1213.
[10] J. Iliopoulos, C. Itzykson and A. Martin, Rev. Mod. Phys., Vol. 47 (1975), 165.
[11] L.H. Chan, Phys. Rev. Lett. Vol. 54 (1985), 1222.
[12] C.M. Fraser, Z. Phys. C, 28 (1985), 101.
[13] C. Ford and D.R.T. Jones, Phys. Lett. B 274 (1992), 409; (erratum) ibid, 285, 1992, 399.
[14] H. Kleinert etal., Phys. Lett. B 272 (1991) 39; ibid. 391 (1993), 545.
[15] L. Abbott, Nucl, Phys. B 185 (1981), 189.
[16] B.M. Kastening, Phys. Lett. B 283 (1992), 287.
[17] M.Bando, T.Kugo, N.Maekawa and H. Nekano, Phys. Lett. B 301 (1993), 83.
[18] C. Ford, Phys. Rev. D50 (1994), 7531.
[19] S.M. Chung and B.K. Chung, Phys. Rev. D60 (1999), 105001.
[20] C. Ford, D.R.T. Jones, P.W. Stephenson and M.B. Einhorn, Nucl. Phys. B395 (1993), 17.
[21] F. Chishtie, T. Hanif, Junji Jia, R.B. Mann, D.G.C McKeon and T.G. Steele (in preparetion).